

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Department of Commerce United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,579	08/05/2002	Adriaan Johannes Hubertus van der Wijngaart	9424.173USWO	4815
23552 75	590 10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			PRINCE, FRED G	
	S. MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

VAN DER WIJNGAART, ADRIAAN 10/088.579 JOHANNES HUB Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Fred Prince 1724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704/b). Status 1)🛛 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2002. 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ___ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Application No.

Applicant(s)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 0302.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/088,579

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, in lines one and nine, respectively, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. For examination purposes, the phrase is considered not to be part of the claimed invention. Applicant is required to delete the phrase and the limitations immediately following the phrase or delete the phrase only.

Claims 2-22 are rejected as depending from a rejected claim.

 Claim 6 recites the limitation "the biomass" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
There is no brief description of Fig. 4 on page 8 of the specification. Applicant is advised to insert the heading "Brief Description of the Drawings" above the description.
Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,579

Art Unit: 1724

It appears that throughout the specification, "Fig. 2" should be changed to "Fig. 3" and "Fig. 3" should be changed to "Fig. 2" as Fig. 2 on the drawing shows the various product streams and Fig. 3 o the drawing shows a stable.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

6. Figures 1 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

While it is known in the art to supply wastewater to a non-aerated section, supply effluent from the non-aerated section to an aerated section, supply effluent from the aerated section to a membrane in which the majority of the microorganisms are returned (see US Pat No. 4,749,494 to Tomoyasu et al.), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest returned to the returned concentrate to the non-

Art Unit: 1724

aerated section. The instant invention provides the advantage of facilitating purification of highly-loaded wastewater in a biological purification plant.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (703) 306-9169. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine R. Copenheaver can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FRED G. PRINCE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/10/03